A z^k invariant subspace without the wandering property

Daniel Seco

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas

Complex Analysis and Function Theory, Heraklion, 3^{rd} July 2018

Spaces over the disc

Definition

Dirichlet-type space, D_α, is:

$$\{f \in Hol(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} a_k z^k, ||f||_\alpha^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 (k+1)^\alpha < \infty\}$$

Spaces over the disc

Definition

Dirichlet-type space, D_α, is:

$$\{f \in Hol(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k z^k, ||f||_\alpha^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 (k+1)^\alpha < \infty\}$$

Examples

$\alpha = -1$, $\mathcal{A}^2 = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{D})$

Spaces over the disc

Definition

Dirichlet-type space, D_α, is:

$$\{f \in Hol(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} a_k z^k, ||f||_\alpha^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 (k+1)^\alpha < \infty\}$$

Examples

$\alpha = -1$, $\mathcal{A}^2 = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{D})$
$\alpha = 0$, $\mathcal{H}^2 = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{T})$

Spaces over the disc

Definition

Dirichlet-type space, D_α, is:

$$\{f \in Hol(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} a_k z^k, ||f||_\alpha^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 (k+1)^\alpha < \infty\}$$

Examples

$\alpha = -1$, $\mathcal{A}^2 = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{D})$
$\alpha = 0$, $\mathcal{H}^2 = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\alpha = 1$, $\mathcal{D} = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap \{A(f(\mathbb{D})) < \infty\}$

Spaces over the disc

Definition

Dirichlet-type space, D_α, is:

$$\{f \in Hol(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} a_k z^k, ||f||_\alpha^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 (k+1)^\alpha < \infty\}$$

Examples

$\alpha = -1$, $\mathcal{A}^2 = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{D})$
$\alpha = 0$, $\mathcal{H}^2 = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\alpha = 1$, $\mathcal{D} = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap \{A(f(\mathbb{D})) < \infty\}$

- $\alpha > \alpha' \Rightarrow D_\alpha \subset D_{\alpha'}$

Spaces over the disc

Definition

Dirichlet-type space, D_α, is:

$$\{f \in Hol(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} a_k z^k, ||f||_\alpha^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 (k+1)^\alpha < \infty\}$$

Examples

$\alpha = -1$, $\mathcal{A}^2 = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{D})$
$\alpha = 0$, $\mathcal{H}^2 = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\alpha = 1$, $\mathcal{D} = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap \{A(f(\mathbb{D})) < \infty\}$

- $\alpha > \alpha' \Rightarrow D_\alpha \subset D_{\alpha'}$
- $f \in D_\alpha \Leftrightarrow f' \in D_{\alpha-2}$

Spaces over the disc

Definition

Dirichlet-type space, D_α, is:

$$\{f \in Hol(\mathbb{D}) : f(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} a_k z^k, ||f||_\alpha^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 (k+1)^\alpha < \infty\}$$

Examples

$\alpha = -1$, $\mathcal{A}^2 = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{D})$
$\alpha = 0$, $\mathcal{H}^2 = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{T})$
$\alpha = 1$, $\mathcal{D} = Hol(\mathbb{D}) \cap \{A(f(\mathbb{D})) < \infty\}$

- $\alpha > \alpha' \Rightarrow D_\alpha \subset D_{\alpha'}$
- $f \in D_\alpha \Leftrightarrow f' \in D_{\alpha-2}$
- Hilbert spaces with monomials as an orthogonal basis

Invariant subspaces

- The (forward) *shift operator* is bdd:

$$S : D_\alpha \to D_\alpha : Sf(z) = zf(z).$$

A closed subspace V of D_α is z^k- *invariant* if $S^k V \subset V$.

Invariant subspaces

- The (forward) *shift operator* is bdd:

$$S : D_\alpha \to D_\alpha : Sf(z) = zf(z).$$

A closed subspace V of D_α is z^k- *invariant* if $S^k V \subset V$.

- $$[f]_{z^k} (= [f]) = \overline{\text{span}\{z^{tk} f : t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}}.$$

Invariant subspaces

- The (forward) *shift operator* is bdd:
$$S : D_\alpha \to D_\alpha : Sf(z) = zf(z).$$
A closed subspace V of D_α is z^k*- invariant* if $S^k V \subset V$.
-
$$[f]_{z^k} (= [f]) = \overline{\mathrm{span}\{z^{tk} f : t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}}.$$

Theorem (Beurling, '49)

For $\mathcal{H}^2$ $(\alpha = 0)$, M z-inv. subsp. $\Rightarrow M = \varphi H^2 = [\varphi]$ and span$(\varphi) = M \ominus SM$.

Invariant subspaces

- The (forward) *shift operator* is bdd:
$$S : D_\alpha \to D_\alpha : Sf(z) = zf(z).$$
A closed subspace V of D_α is z^k*- invariant* if $S^k V \subset V$.
- $$[f]_{z^k}(= [f]) = \overline{\mathrm{span}\{z^{tk} f : t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}}.$$

Theorem (Beurling, '49)

For $\mathcal{H}^2$ ($\alpha = 0$), M z-inv. subsp. $\Rightarrow M = \varphi H^2 = [\varphi]$ and span$(\varphi) = M \ominus SM$.

Theorem (Aleman, Richter, Sundberg, '96)

For $\alpha = -1$ M z-inv. $\Rightarrow$
$$[M \ominus SM] = M.$$

Wandering subspaces

- Shimorin ('02) extended ARS'96 to $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ (different ideas for $\alpha < 0$ and $\alpha > 0$). Hedenmalm ('88) studied related problems for $\alpha > 1$.

Wandering subspaces

- Shimorin ('02) extended ARS'96 to $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ (different ideas for $\alpha < 0$ and $\alpha > 0$). Hedenmalm ('88) studied related problems for $\alpha > 1$.

Definition

M has the z^k *wandering property* if

$$[M \ominus S^k M]_{z^k} = M.$$

Wandering subspaces

- Shimorin ('02) extended ARS'96 to $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ (different ideas for $\alpha < 0$ and $\alpha > 0$). Hedenmalm ('88) studied related problems for $\alpha > 1$.

Definition

M has the z^k *wandering property* if

$$[M \ominus S^k M]_{z^k} = M.$$

If $\forall M$ z^k-inv. subsp. of *H*, *M* wandering, then *the wandering property* holds for z^k in *H*.

Wandering subspaces

- Shimorin ('02) extended ARS'96 to $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ (different ideas for $\alpha < 0$ and $\alpha > 0$). Hedenmalm ('88) studied related problems for $\alpha > 1$.

Definition

M has the z^k *wandering property* if

$$[M \ominus S^k M]_{z^k} = M.$$

If $\forall M$ z^k-inv. subsp. of H, M wandering, then *the wandering property* holds for z^k in H.

- Norm dependent!

Wandering subspaces

- Shimorin ('02) extended ARS'96 to $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ (different ideas for $\alpha < 0$ and $\alpha > 0$). Hedenmalm ('88) studied related problems for $\alpha > 1$.

Definition

M has the z^k *wandering property* if

$$[M \ominus S^k M]_{z^k} = M.$$

If $\forall M$ z^k-inv. subsp. of H, M wandering, then *the wandering property* holds for z^k in H.

- Norm dependent! Really!

The Problem

- More work on wandering prop. for other multipliers (i.e. mult. by an inner function) by Carswell, Duren, Khavinson, Shapiro, Stessin, Sundberg, Weir...

The Problem

- More work on wandering prop. for other multipliers (i.e. mult. by an inner function) by Carswell, Duren, Khavinson, Shapiro, Stessin, Sundberg, Weir...
- CDS'02: Not known whether wandering always holds for mult. by a finite Blaschke product in A^2.

The Problem

- More work on wandering prop. for other multipliers (i.e. mult. by an inner function) by Carswell, Duren, Khavinson, Shapiro, Stessin, Sundberg, Weir...
- CDS'02: Not known whether wandering always holds for mult. by a finite Blaschke product in A^2.

Conjecture

$k > 1$. For z^k in A^2, the wandering prop. holds.

The Problem

- More work on wandering prop. for other multipliers (i.e. mult. by an inner function) by Carswell, Duren, Khavinson, Shapiro, Stessin, Sundberg, Weir...
- CDS'02: Not known whether wandering always holds for mult. by a finite Blaschke product in A^2.

Conjecture

$k > 1$. For z^k in A^2, the wandering prop. holds.

This is the problem we study (but do not solve) today.

Results

Theorem

$\forall k \geq 6$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, D_α *admits equiv. norm* $\|\cdot\|$*:* z^k*-wandering prop. fails.*

Results

Theorem

$\forall k \geq 6$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, D_α admits equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$: z^k-wandering prop. fails.

Remark (Gallardo-Gutiérrez, Partington, Seco (from Shimorin))

- $\forall k \geq 1$, $\forall \alpha < 0$, D_α admits equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$: z^k-wandering holds.

Results

Theorem

$\forall k \geq 6$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, D_α *admits equiv. norm* $\|\cdot\|$*:* z^k*-wandering prop. fails.*

Remark (Gallardo-Gutiérrez, Partington, Seco (from Shimorin))

- $\forall k \geq 1$, $\forall \alpha < 0$, D_α admits equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$: z^k-wandering holds.
- They can be combined: $\forall \alpha < 0$ there exists equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$, $k_0, k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$: z^{k_1}-wandering fails but z^{k_0} holds ($k_1 >> k_0$).

Results

Theorem

$\forall k \geq 6$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, D_α admits equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$: z^k-wandering prop. fails.

Remark (Gallardo-Gutiérrez, Partington, Seco (from Shimorin))

- $\forall k \geq 1$, $\forall \alpha < 0$, D_α admits equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$: z^k-wandering holds.
- They can be combined: $\forall \alpha < 0$ there exists equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$, $k_0, k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$: z^{k_1}-wandering fails but z^{k_0} holds ($k_1 >> k_0$).

What about the usual norms in D_α?

Results

Theorem

$\forall k \geq 6$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, D_α admits equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$: z^k-wandering prop. fails.

Remark (Gallardo-Gutiérrez, Partington, Seco (from Shimorin))

- $\forall k \geq 1$, $\forall \alpha < 0$, D_α admits equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$: z^k-wandering holds.
- They can be combined: $\forall \alpha < 0$ there exists equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$, $k_0, k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$: z^{k_1}-wandering fails but z^{k_0} holds ($k_1 >> k_0$).

What about the usual norms in D_α?

- $\alpha < -(9k + 28)$, z^k wandering fails.

Results

Theorem

$\forall k \geq 6$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, D_α admits equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$: z^k-wandering prop. fails.

Remark (Gallardo-Gutiérrez, Partington, Seco (from Shimorin))

- $\forall k \geq 1$, $\forall \alpha < 0$, D_α admits equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$: z^k-wandering holds.
- They can be combined: $\forall \alpha < 0$ there exists equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$, $k_0, k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$: z^{k_1}-wandering fails but z^{k_0} holds ($k_1 >> k_0$).

What about the usual norms in D_α?

- $\alpha < -(9k+28)$, z^k wandering fails.
- $\alpha > -\frac{\log 2}{\log(k+1)}$, z^k wandering holds.

Results

Theorem

$\forall k \geq 6$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, D_α admits equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$: z^k-wandering prop. fails.

Remark (Gallardo-Gutiérrez, Partington, Seco (from Shimorin))

- $\forall k \geq 1$, $\forall \alpha < 0$, D_α admits equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$: z^k-wandering holds.
- They can be combined: $\forall \alpha < 0$ there exists equiv. norm $\|\cdot\|$, $k_0, k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$: z^{k_1}-wandering fails but z^{k_0} holds ($k_1 >> k_0$).

What about the usual norms in D_α?

- $\alpha < -(9k + 28)$, z^k wandering fails.
- $\alpha > -\frac{\log 2}{\log(k+1)}$, z^k wandering holds.
- Today focus: $\alpha = -16$, z^6 wandering fails.

How does M look

$\alpha = -16$, $k = 6$.

How does M look

$\alpha = -16$, $k = 6$.

- $M = [F_1, F_2]_{z^6}$

How does M look

$\alpha = -16$, $k = 6$.

- $M = [F_1, F_2]_{z^6}$
- $F_1(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 z^4 + a_6 z^6 + a_7 z^7 + a_8 z^8 + a_9 z^9$
  $F_2(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + b_3 z^3 + b_5 z^5$

How does M look

$\alpha = -16$, $k = 6$.

- $M = [F_1, F_2]_{z^6}$
- $F_1(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 z^4 + a_6 z^6 + a_7 z^7 + a_8 z^8 + a_9 z^9$
  $F_2(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + b_3 z^3 + b_5 z^5$
- a_4, b_5 different role: $(2z^6 + 5)F_1(z) + (9z^6 + 8)F_2(z) =$

$$= (c_0, ..., c_3, 5a_4, 8b_5, c_6, ..., c_9, 2a_4, 9b_5, c_{12}, ..., c_{15}, 0, 0, c_{18}, ...)$$

How does M look

$\alpha = -16$, $k = 6$.

- $M = [F_1, F_2]_{z^6}$
- $F_1(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 z^4 + a_6 z^6 + a_7 z^7 + a_8 z^8 + a_9 z^9$
  $F_2(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + b_3 z^3 + b_5 z^5$
- a_4, b_5 different role: $(2z^6 + 5)F_1(z) + (9z^6 + 8)F_2(z) =$

$$= (c_0, ..., c_3, 5a_4, 8b_5, c_6, ..., c_9, 2a_4, 9b_5, c_{12}, ..., c_{15}, 0, 0, c_{18}, ...)$$

- $\Rightarrow$ unique expression $\Rightarrow$ "Fourier analysis"

How does M look

$\alpha = -16$, $k = 6$.

- $M = [F_1, F_2]_{z^6}$
- $F_1(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 z^4 + a_6 z^6 + a_7 z^7 + a_8 z^8 + a_9 z^9$
  $F_2(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + b_3 z^3 + b_5 z^5$
- a_4, b_5 different role: $(2z^6 + 5)F_1(z) + (9z^6 + 8)F_2(z) =$

$$= (c_0, ..., c_3, 5a_4, 8b_5, c_6, ..., c_9, 2a_4, 9b_5, c_{12}, ..., c_{15}, 0, 0, c_{18}, ...)$$

- $\Rightarrow$ unique expression $\Rightarrow$ “Fourier analysis”
- $\Rightarrow M = \{f_1(z^6)F_1(z) + f_2(z^6)F_2(z) : f_1, f_2 \in D_\alpha\}$

Linear relations

$f \in M \ominus z^6 M \Leftrightarrow \langle f, z^{6s} F_j \rangle = 0 \; (s \geq 1, j = 1, 2).$

Definition

Linear relations

$f \in M \ominus z^6 M \Leftrightarrow \langle f, z^{6s} F_j \rangle = 0$ ($s \geq 1$, $j = 1, 2$).

Definition

- $A_{s,1} = \langle z^{6(s-1)} F_1, z^{6s} F_1 \rangle = \sum_{h=0}^{3} \overline{a_h} a_{6+h} \omega_{6s+h}$

Linear relations

$f \in M \ominus z^6 M \Leftrightarrow \langle f, z^{6s} F_j \rangle = 0$ $(s \geq 1,\ j = 1, 2)$.

Definition

- $A_{s,1} = \langle z^{6(s-1)} F_1, z^{6s} F_1 \rangle = \sum_{h=0}^{3} \overline{a_h} a_{6+h} \omega_{6s+h}$
- $A_{s,2} = \langle z^{6s} F_1, z^{6s} F_2 \rangle$

Linear relations

$f \in M \ominus z^6 M \Leftrightarrow \langle f, z^{6s} F_j \rangle = 0 \ (s \geq 1, j = 1, 2)$.

Definition

- $A_{s,1} = \langle z^{6(s-1)} F_1, z^{6s} F_1 \rangle = \sum_{h=0}^{3} \overline{a_h} a_{6+h} \omega_{6s+h}$
- $A_{s,2} = \langle z^{6s} F_1, z^{6s} F_2 \rangle$
- $A_{s,3} = \|z^{6s} F_1\|^2$, $A_{s,4} = \|z^{6s} F_2\|^2$

Linear relations

$f \in M \ominus z^6 M \Leftrightarrow \langle f, z^{6s} F_j \rangle = 0 \; (s \geq 1, j = 1, 2)$.

Definition

- $A_{s,1} = \langle z^{6(s-1)} F_1, z^{6s} F_1 \rangle = \sum_{h=0}^{3} \overline{a_h} a_{6+h} \omega_{6s+h}$
- $A_{s,2} = \langle z^{6s} F_1, z^{6s} F_2 \rangle$
- $A_{s,3} = \|z^{6s} F_1\|^2$, $A_{s,4} = \|z^{6s} F_2\|^2$
- $A_{s,5} = \langle z^{6(s-1)} F_1, z^{6s} F_2 \rangle$

Linear relations

$f \in M \ominus z^6 M \Leftrightarrow \langle f, z^{6s} F_j \rangle = 0$ $(s \geq 1, j = 1, 2)$.

Definition

- $A_{s,1} = \langle z^{6(s-1)} F_1, z^{6s} F_1 \rangle = \sum_{h=0}^{3} \overline{a_h} a_{6+h} \omega_{6s+h}$
- $A_{s,2} = \langle z^{6s} F_1, z^{6s} F_2 \rangle$
- $A_{s,3} = \|z^{6s} F_1\|^2$, $A_{s,4} = \|z^{6s} F_2\|^2$
- $A_{s,5} = \langle z^{6(s-1)} F_1, z^{6s} F_2 \rangle$

Lemma

$f \in M$. Then $f \perp z^6 M \Leftrightarrow \forall s \geq 1$ both

$$0 = \hat{f}_1(s+1)\overline{A_{s+1,1}} + \hat{f}_2(s+1)\overline{A_{s+1,5}} + \hat{f}_1(s)A_{s,3} + \hat{f}_2(s)\overline{A_{s,2}} + \hat{f}_1(s-1)A_{s,1}$$

and

$$0 = \hat{f}_1(s)A_{s,2} + \hat{f}_2(s)A_{s,4} + \hat{f}_1(s-1)A_{s,5}.$$

Linear conditions

- Suppose $f \in M \ominus z^6 M$. If $A_{2,1} = A_{3,1} = A_{2,5} = A_{3,5} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{f}_1(2) = \hat{f}_2(2) = 0$.

Linear conditions

- Suppose $f \in M \ominus z^6 M$. If $A_{2,1} = A_{3,1} = A_{2,5} = A_{3,5} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{f}_1(2) = \hat{f}_2(2) = 0$.
- If (*previous*) $+ A_{1,1} = 0$, then $M \perp z^6 M$ spanned by F_2 and F_4 where

$$F_4(z) = F_1(z)\left(1 - \frac{z^6 A_{1,5}\overline{A_{1,2}}}{|A_{1,2}|^2 - A_{1,3}A_{1,4}}\right) = (1 + z^6/c)F_1(z).$$

Linear conditions

- Suppose $f \in M \ominus z^6 M$. If $A_{2,1} = A_{3,1} = A_{2,5} = A_{3,5} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{f}_1(2) = \hat{f}_2(2) = 0$.
- If (*previous*) $+ A_{1,1} = 0$, then $M \perp z^6 M$ spanned by F_2 and F_4 where

$$F_4(z) = F_1(z)(1 - \frac{z^6 A_{1,5}\overline{A_{1,2}}}{|A_{1,2}|^2 - A_{1,3}A_{1,4}}) = (1 + z^6/c)F_1(z).$$

- Notice then $F_1 \in [M \ominus z^6 M] \Leftrightarrow 1 + z/c$ cyclic $\Leftrightarrow c \geq 1$.

Linear conditions

- Suppose $f \in M \ominus z^6 M$. If $A_{2,1} = A_{3,1} = A_{2,5} = A_{3,5} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{f}_1(2) = \hat{f}_2(2) = 0$.
- If (*previous*) $+ A_{1,1} = 0$, then $M \perp z^6 M$ spanned by F_2 and F_4 where

$$F_4(z) = F_1(z)\left(1 - \frac{z^6 A_{1,5}\overline{A_{1,2}}}{|A_{1,2}|^2 - A_{1,3}A_{1,4}}\right) = (1 + z^6/c)F_1(z).$$

- Notice then $F_1 \in [M \ominus z^6 M] \Leftrightarrow 1 + z/c$ cyclic $\Leftrightarrow c \geq 1$.
- Optimization problem on 12 variables, with 5 restrictions to show $c < 1$.

The optimization problem

$$B_0 := \inf \frac{A_{1,3}A_{1,4} - |A_{1,2}|^2}{|A_{1,2}A_{1,5}|} < 1$$

The optimization problem

$$B_0 := \inf \frac{A_{1,3}A_{1,4} - |A_{1,2}|^2}{|A_{1,2}A_{1,5}|} < 1$$

inf on $(a_0, ..., a_3, a_6, ..., a_9, b_0, ..., b_3) \in \mathbb{C}^{12}$:

The optimization problem

$$B_0 := \inf \frac{A_{1,3}A_{1,4} - |A_{1,2}|^2}{|A_{1,2}A_{1,5}|} < 1$$

inf on $(a_0, ..., a_3, a_6, ..., a_9, b_0, ..., b_3) \in \mathbb{C}^{12}$:

$$N \begin{pmatrix} a_0\overline{a_6} \\ a_1\overline{a_7} \\ a_2\overline{a_8} \\ a_3\overline{a_9} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N \begin{pmatrix} b_0\overline{a_6} \\ b_1\overline{a_7} \\ b_2\overline{a_8} \\ b_3\overline{a_9} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{A_{1,5}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

The optimization problem

$$B_0 := \inf \frac{A_{1,3}A_{1,4} - |A_{1,2}|^2}{|A_{1,2}A_{1,5}|} < 1$$

inf on $(a_0, ..., a_3, a_6, ..., a_9, b_0, ..., b_3) \in \mathbb{C}^{12}$:

$$N \begin{pmatrix} a_0\overline{a_6} \\ a_1\overline{a_7} \\ a_2\overline{a_8} \\ a_3\overline{a_9} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N \begin{pmatrix} b_0\overline{a_6} \\ b_1\overline{a_7} \\ b_2\overline{a_8} \\ b_3\overline{a_9} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{A_{1,5}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and N is the 3×4 matrix given by

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_6 & \omega_7 & \omega_8 & \omega_9 \\ \omega_{12} & \omega_{13} & \omega_{14} & \omega_{15} \\ \omega_{18} & \omega_{19} & \omega_{20} & \omega_{21} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The solution to the problem

- Restrictions give $a_6, \ldots, a_9$ and $b_0, \ldots, b_3$ in terms of $a_0, \ldots, a_3$ and 3 mute variables.

The solution to the problem

- Restrictions give $a_6, ..., a_9$ and $b_0, ..., b_3$ in terms of $a_0, ..., a_3$ and 3 mute variables.
- Objective function, homogeneous $\Rightarrow a_0 = 1$.

The solution to the problem

- Restrictions give $a_6, ..., a_9$ and $b_0, ..., b_3$ in terms of $a_0, ..., a_3$ and 3 mute variables.
- Objective function, homogeneous $\Rightarrow a_0 = 1$.
- Classical calculus techniques reduce from 6 to 3 variables.

The solution to the problem

- Restrictions give $a_6, ..., a_9$ and $b_0, ..., b_3$ in terms of $a_0, ..., a_3$ and 3 mute variables.
- Objective function, homogeneous $\Rightarrow a_0 = 1$.
- Classical calculus techniques reduce from 6 to 3 variables.
- A simple educated guess gives a good enough result

$$B_0 < 0.22.$$

The solution to the problem

- Restrictions give $a_6, ..., a_9$ and $b_0, ..., b_3$ in terms of $a_0, ..., a_3$ and 3 mute variables.
- Objective function, homogeneous $\Rightarrow a_0 = 1$.
- Classical calculus techniques reduce from 6 to 3 variables.
- A simple educated guess gives a good enough result

$$B_0 < 0.22.$$

Remark

Changing those 12 values in the adequate place of the sequence ω will give an equiv. norm in any D_α with the same result.

Wandering man


END OF TRAIL