

# Complex-analytic and other properties of the generalized hypergeometric functions and their ratios

**Dmitrii Karp (joint work with Elena Prilepkina, José Luis López, Alexander Dyachenko and Sergei Kalmykov)**

[dmkrp.wordpress.com](http://dmkrp.wordpress.com)

Far Eastern Federal University

**New Developments in Complex Analysis and Function Theory**  
Heraklion, Greece, July 2–6, 2018

# Generalized hypergeometric function: questions

Set  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) \in \mathbb{C}^p$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q) \in \mathbb{C}^q$ . Then

$${}_pF_q \left( \begin{matrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) = {}_pF_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n (b_2)_n \cdots (b_q)_n n!} z^n,$$

where  $(a)_n = \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a)$  denotes the rising factorial. The series converges for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  if  $p \leq q$  and for  $|z| < 1$  if  $p = q + 1$ .

- Analytic continuation of  $z \rightarrow {}_pF_{p-1}(z)$  to  $|z| \geq 1$
- Analytic continuation of  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \rightarrow {}_pF_{p-1}(1)$  to  $\Re[\sum_k a_k - \sum_j b_j] > 0$  ( ${}_pF_{p-1}(1)$  is important in physics)
- Geometric properties of  $z \rightarrow {}_pF_{p-1}(z)$  (univalence, starlikeness, convexity etc.) and ratios
- Values of  $z \rightarrow {}_pF_{p-1}(z)$  on the banks of the branch cut  $[1, \infty)$
- Bounds for  $z \rightarrow {}_pF_{p-1}(z)$  in the complex plane
- Location of zeros of entire functions  ${}_pF_q$ ,  $p \leq q$  (reality of zeros, zero-free regions, etc.)

# Important ingredient: Meijer's $G$ -function

## Definition of Meijer's $G$ -function (Meijer, around 1940)

Suppose  $0 \leq m \leq q$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq p$  are integers,  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) \in \mathbb{C}^p$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q) \in \mathbb{C}^q$  are such that  $a_i - b_j \notin \mathbb{N}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Define

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left( z \left| \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{array} \right. \right) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma(b_1+s) \cdots \Gamma(b_m+s) \Gamma(1-a_1-s) \cdots \Gamma(1-a_n-s)}{\Gamma(a_{n+1}+s) \cdots \Gamma(a_p+s) \Gamma(1-b_{m+1}-s) \cdots \Gamma(1-b_q-s)}}_{\mathcal{G}(s)} z^{-s} ds.$$

The contour  $\mathcal{L}$  begins and ends at infinity and separates the poles  $-b_j - k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  from the poles  $1 - a_i + l$ ,  $l = 0, 1, \dots$

# Important ingredient: Meijer's $G$ -function

Mostly, we need only a particular case (Meijer-Nørlund function):

$$G_{q,p}^{p,0} \left( z \left| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right. \right) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\Gamma(a_1+s) \cdots \Gamma(a_p+s)}{\Gamma(b_1+s) \cdots \Gamma(b_q+s)} z^{-s} ds.$$

The contour  $\mathcal{L}$  is a vertical line on the right of all the poles of the integrand or the left loop beginning and ending at  $-\infty$  and leaving all the poles on the left.

Notation:

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{a}) = \Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2) \cdots \Gamma(a_p), \quad (\mathbf{a})_n = (a_1)_n(a_2)_n \cdots (a_p)_n, \\ \mathbf{a} + \mu = (a_1 + \mu, a_2 + \mu, \dots, a_p + \mu);$$

in particular,  $(\mathbf{a}) = (\mathbf{a})_1 = a_1 \cdots a_p$ ; inequalities like  $\Re(\mathbf{a}) > 0$  and properties like  $-\mathbf{a} \notin \mathbb{N}_0$  will be understood element-wise. The symbol  $\mathbf{a}_{[k]}$  stands for the vector  $\mathbf{a}$  with omitted  $k$ -th element.

# Key tool: integral representations

Termwise integration leads to the Laplace transform representations

$${}_{p+1}F_p \left( \begin{matrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \middle| -z \right) = \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} G_{p,p+1}^{p+1,0} \left( t \middle| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right) \frac{dt}{t},$$

$${}_pF_p \left( \begin{matrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \middle| -z \right) = \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} \int_0^1 e^{-zt} G_{p,p}^{p,0} \left( t \middle| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right) \frac{dt}{t},$$

the generalized Stieltjes transform representation

$${}_{p+1}F_p \left( \begin{matrix} \sigma, \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \middle| -z \right) = \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} \int_0^1 G_{p,p}^{p,0} \left( t \middle| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right) \frac{dt}{t(1+zt)^\sigma}$$

and the cosine Fourier transform representation

$${}_{p-1}F_p \left( \begin{matrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \middle| -z^2/4 \right) = \frac{2\Gamma(\mathbf{b})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} \int_0^1 \cos(zt) G_{p,p}^{p,0} \left( t^2 \middle| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a}, 1/2 \end{matrix} \right) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

These hold if  $\Re(\mathbf{a}) > 0$  and also  $\sum_{i=1}^p \Re(b_i - a_i) > 0$  in the second and third formulas or  $\sum_{i=1}^p \Re(b_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Re(a_i) > 1/2$  in the last formula.

# Extended integral representations with atom

First appearance: 1994 book by Virginia Kiryakova (derived by consecutive fractional integrations). We relaxed the restrictions on parameters and further extended these formulas to zero parametric excess  $\sum_{i=1}^p (b_i - a_i) = 0$  as follows

$${}_pF_p \left( \begin{matrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \middle| -z \right) = \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} \int_0^1 e^{-zt} \left\{ G_{p,p}^{p,0} \left( t \middle| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right) + \delta_1 \right\} \frac{dt}{t},$$

$${}_{p+1}F_p \left( \begin{matrix} \sigma, \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \middle| -z \right) = \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} \int_0^1 \left\{ G_{p,p}^{p,0} \left( t \middle| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right) + \delta_1 \right\} \frac{dt}{t(1+zt)^\sigma},$$

$${}_{p-1}F_p \left( \begin{matrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \middle| -z^2/4 \right) = \frac{2\Gamma(\mathbf{b})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} \times \int_0^1 \cos(zt) \left\{ G_{p,p}^{p,0} \left( t^2 \middle| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a}, 1/2 \end{matrix} \right) + \delta_1 \right\} \frac{dt}{t},$$

where  $\delta_1$  denotes the unit mass at the point  $t = 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^p b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} a_i = 1/2$  in the last formula.

# Positivity of $G$ -function

Proposition (K.-Prilepkina, 2012)

Suppose  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $v_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^p (t^{a_j} - t^{b_j}) \geq 0$ . Then

$$G_{p,p}^{p,0} \left( t \mid \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{array} \right) \geq 0$$

on  $(0, 1)$  and

$$G_{p,p+1}^{p+1,0} \left( t \mid \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{b} \\ \sigma, \mathbf{a} \end{array} \right) \geq 0$$

on  $(0, \infty)$  for any  $\sigma > 0$ . In fact, more is true: if also  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} > 0$  then

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} G_{p,p}^{p,0} \left( e^{-t} \mid \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{array} \right) dt$$

is infinitely divisible probability distribution on  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Observation (Alzer (1997) based on Tomić (1949)):**  $v_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$  if

$$0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_p, \quad 0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_p,$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

These inequalities are known as weak supermajorization and are abbreviated as  $\mathbf{b} \prec^W \mathbf{a}$ , where  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_p)$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p)$ .



# Markov representation

## Definition: Markov functions

Define  $\mathcal{T}$  to be the class of functions  $f$  representable by

$$f(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{d\mu(t)}{1-zt}$$

for some probability measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Functions  $f \in \mathcal{T}$  are generating functions of the Hausdorff moment sequences.

## Theorem (K.-Prilepkina, 2012)

Suppose  $0 < \sigma \leq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{a} > 0$  and  $v_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$  (in particular, it suffices that  $\mathbf{b} \prec^W \mathbf{a}$ ). Then  ${}_{p+1}F_p(\sigma, \mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) \in \mathcal{T}$  and the representing measure is given by

$$d\mu(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\sigma)\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} G_{p+1, p+1}^{p+1, 0} \left( t \middle| \begin{matrix} 1, \mathbf{b} \\ \sigma, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right) \frac{dt}{t}$$

if  $\sum(b_k - a_k) > 0$  or  $d\mu_1(t) = d\mu(t) + \delta_1$  if  $\sum(b_k - a_k) = 0$ .

### Corollary 1 (K.-Prilepkina, 2012)

Suppose  $0 < \sigma \leq 1$  and  $v_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then the functions

$$z \rightarrow {}_{p+1}F_p(\sigma, \mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) \quad \text{and} \quad z \rightarrow z {}_{p+1}F_p(\sigma, \mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z)$$

are univalent in the half-plane  $\Re(z) < 1$ . The second function is starlike in the disk  $|z| < r^*$ , where  $r^* = \sqrt{13\sqrt{13} - 46} \approx 0,934$ .

### Corollary 2 (K.-Prilepkina, 2012)

Suppose  $0 < \sigma \leq 2$  and  $v_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then the function

$z \rightarrow z {}_{p+1}F_p(\sigma, \mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z)$  is univalent in the disk

$$|z| < r_s := \sqrt{\sqrt{32} - 5} \approx 0.81.$$

### Corollary 3 (K.-Prilepkina, 2012)

Suppose  $\sigma \geq 1$  and  $v_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then the function

${}_{p+1}F_p(\sigma, \mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; -z)$  maps the sector  $0 < \arg(z) < \pi/\sigma$  into the lower half-plane  $\Im(z) < 0$ .

# Inequalities in the left half-plane

## Theorem (K.-Prilepkina, 2017)

Suppose  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} > 0$  are such that  $0 < a_1 \leq 1$  and  $v_{\mathbf{a}_{[1]}, \mathbf{b}}(t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$  (where  $\mathbf{a}_{[1]} = (a_2, \dots, a_{p+1})$ ). Then the following inequalities hold in the half plane  $\Re(z) < 1$ :

$$\frac{2(\mathbf{a})|z - 2||z|}{(\mathbf{b})(|z - 2| + |z|)^2} \leq |{}_{p+1}F_p(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) - 1| \leq \frac{2(\mathbf{a})|z - 2||z|}{(\mathbf{b})(|z - 2| - |z|)^2},$$

$$\frac{4(|z - 2| - |z|)}{(|z - 2| + |z|)^3} \leq |{}_{p+1}F_p(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{1}; z)| \leq \frac{4(|z - 2| + |z|)}{(|z - 2| - |z|)^3},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2(\mathbf{b})(|z - 2| - |z|)}{(\mathbf{a})|z||z - 2|(|z - 2| + |z|)} &\leq \left| \frac{{}_{p+1}F_p(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{1}; z) - 1}{{}_{p+1}F_p(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2(\mathbf{b})(|z - 2| + |z|)}{(\mathbf{a})|z||z - 2|(|z - 2| - |z|)}. \end{aligned}$$

# Jump and average value on the branch cut

Theorem (K.-Prilepkina, 2017)

Suppose  $x > 1$  and  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  are real vectors. Then the following identities hold true

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{p+1}F_p \left( \begin{matrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \middle| x + i0 \right) - {}_{p+1}F_p \left( \begin{matrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \middle| x - i0 \right) \\ = 2\pi i \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} G_{p+1,p+1}^{p+1,0} \left( \begin{matrix} 1 \\ x \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} 1, \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{{}_{p+1}F_p(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; x + i0) + {}_{p+1}F_p(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; x - i0)}{2} \\ = -\frac{\pi\Gamma(\mathbf{b})}{\sqrt{x}\Gamma(\mathbf{a})} G_{p+2,p+2}^{p+1,1} \left( \begin{matrix} 1 \\ x \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} 1/2, 1, \mathbf{b} - 1/2 \\ \mathbf{a} - 1/2, 1 \end{matrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

# Ratios of the Gauss functions

Gauss continued fraction + Markov and Stieltjes integral representations:

$$f_1(z) = \frac{{}_2F_1(a_1, a_2 + 1; b_1 + 1; z)}{{}_2F_1(a_1, a_2; b_1; z)} \in \mathcal{T}$$

for  $0 \leq a_1 \leq b_1$ ,  $0 \leq a_2 \leq b_1$ . Explicit expression for the measure (under additional restriction  $b_1 \geq 1$ ) - Belevitch (1984):

$$f_1(z) = A(a_1, a_2, b_1) + B(a_1, a_2, b_1) \int_0^1 \frac{t^{a_1+a_2-1}(1-t)^{b_1-a_1-a_2} dt}{(1-zt) |{}_2F_1(a_1, a_2; b_1; 1/t)|^2},$$

where

$$B(a_1, a_2, b_1) = \frac{\Gamma(b_1)\Gamma(b_1 + 1)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2 + 1)\Gamma(b_1 - a_2)\Gamma(b_1 - a_1 + 1)}$$

$$A(a_1, a_2, b_1) = 0 \text{ if } a_2 \leq a_1; \quad A = \frac{b_1(a_2 - a_1)}{a_2(b_1 - a_1)} \text{ if } a_2 > a_1.$$

# Ratios of the Gauss functions

Küstner (2002): if  $-1 < a_2 \leq b_1$  and  $0 < a_1 \leq b_1$  then

$$f_2(z) = \frac{{}_2F_1(a_1, a_2 + 1; b_1; z)}{{}_2F_1(a_1, a_2; b_1; z)} \in \mathcal{T} \text{ and}$$

$$f_3(z) = \frac{{}_2F_1(a_1 + 1, a_2 + 1; b_1 + 1; z)}{{}_2F_1(a_1, a_2; b_1; z)} \in \mathcal{T}$$

K.-Dyachenko (work in progress). Under certain conditions on parameters:

$$f_2(z) = B_1 \int_0^1 \frac{t^{a_1+a_2-1}(1-t)^{b_1-a_1-a_2-1} dt}{(1-zt) |{}_2F_1(a_1, a_2; b_1; 1/t)|^2},$$

$$f_3(z) = B_2 \int_0^1 \frac{t^{a_1+a_2}(1-t)^{b_1-a_1-a_2-1} dt}{(1-zt) |{}_2F_1(a_1, a_2; b_1; 1/t)|^2},$$

where  $B_1 = [\Gamma(b_1)]^2 / \Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2 + 1)\Gamma(b_1 - a_1)\Gamma(b_1 - a_2)$ ,  
 $B_2 = b_1[\Gamma(b_1)]^2 / \Gamma(a_1 + 1)\Gamma(a_2 + 1)\Gamma(b_1 - a_1)\Gamma(b_1 - a_2)$ .

K.-Dyachenko (work in progress). Suppose  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} > 0$  and  $v_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$F(z) = {}_{p+1}F_p \left( \begin{matrix} 1, \mathbf{a} + \alpha \\ \mathbf{b} + \alpha \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) / {}_{p+1}F_p \left( \begin{matrix} 1, \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) \in \mathcal{T}.$$

The density  $\mu(t)$  of representing measure is given by:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{a})\Gamma(\mathbf{a} + \alpha)}{\pi\Gamma(\mathbf{b})\Gamma(\mathbf{b} + \alpha)} |{}_{p+1}F_p(1, \mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; 1/t)|^2 t \mu(t) = G_{p,p}^{p,0} \left( t \middle| \begin{matrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{matrix} \right) \times \\ \left\{ G_{p+2,p+2}^{p+1,1} \left( t \middle| \begin{matrix} 1, 3/2, \mathbf{b} + \alpha \\ 1, \mathbf{a} + \alpha, 3/2 \end{matrix} \right) - t^\alpha G_{p+2,p+2}^{p+1,1} \left( t \middle| \begin{matrix} 1, 3/2, \mathbf{b} \\ 1, \mathbf{a}, 3/2 \end{matrix} \right) \right\}.$$

# Nevanlinna classes

## Nevanlinna class $\mathcal{N}_\kappa$

A function  $\varphi(z)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_\kappa$  whenever it is meromorphic in  $\Im z > 0$ , and for any set of non-real points  $z_1, \dots, z_k$  the Hermitian form

$$H_\varphi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k | z_1, \dots, z_k) = \sum_{n,m=0}^k \frac{\varphi(z_n) - \overline{\varphi(z_m)}}{z_n - \overline{z_m}} \zeta_n \overline{\zeta_m}$$

has at most  $\kappa$  negative squares and for some set of points exactly  $\kappa$  negative squares.

The class  $\mathcal{N}_0$  coincides with Nevanlinna-Pick class of holomorphic functions mapping the upper half-plane into itself.

## Conjecture (partially proved for ratios of ${}_2F_1(z)$ )

For all real parameters the ratios  $f_1(z)$ ,  $f_2(z)$ ,  $f_3(z)$  and  $F(z)$  belong to  $\mathcal{N}_\kappa$  with  $\kappa$  explicitly expressed in terms of parameters.



# Analytic continuation in parameters

Shorthand notation:  ${}_{p+1}F_p(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}) := {}_{p+1}F_p(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; 1)$

${}_2F_1(1)$  - Gauss (1812) formula (the series on the left converges for  $\Re(b_1 - a_1 - a_2) > 0$ ):

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2 \\ b_1 \end{matrix}\right) = \frac{\Gamma(b_1)\Gamma(b_1 - a_1 - a_2)}{\Gamma(b_1 - a_1)\Gamma(b_1 - a_2)}$$

Note that hyper-planes  $b_1 - a_1 - a_2 \in -\mathbb{N}_0$  are poles.

${}_3F_2(1)$  - Kummer (1836) and Thomae (1879) relations  
( $\psi = b_1 + b_2 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3$ ):

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{matrix}\right) = \frac{\Gamma(b_2)\Gamma(\psi)}{\Gamma(b_2 - a_1)\Gamma(\psi + a_1)} {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} a_1, b_1 - a_2, b_1 - a_3 \\ b_1, \psi + a_1 \end{matrix}\right),$$

$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{matrix}\right) = \frac{\Gamma(b_1)\Gamma(b_2)\Gamma(\psi)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(\psi + a_2)\Gamma(\psi + a_3)} {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} b_1 - a_1, b_2 - a_1, \psi \\ \psi + a_2, \psi + a_3 \end{matrix}\right).$$

# Analytic continuation in parameters

General case  ${}_p F_p$  - Olsson (1966), Bühring (1992), K.-Prilepkina (2018): for  $\Re(\psi) > 0$  and  $\Re(\mathbf{a}_{[1,2]}) > 0$ :

$${}_p F_p \left( \begin{matrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \right) = \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{b})\Gamma(\psi)}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_{[1,2]})\Gamma(\psi + a_1)\Gamma(\psi + a_2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\psi)_n g_n(\mathbf{a}_{[1,2]}; \mathbf{b})}{(\psi + a_1)_n (\psi + a_2)_n},$$

where  $g_n(\boldsymbol{\alpha}; \boldsymbol{\beta})$  are Nørlund's coefficients defined either by recurrence relations or by  $p - 2$ -fold summation (finally  $p - 1$ -fold summation).

## Theorem (K.-Prilepkina, 2018) - triple summation

Recall that  $\psi = \sum_{k=1}^p b_k - \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} a_j$ . For  $\Re(\psi) > 0$  and  $\Re(\mathbf{a}_{[1,2]}) > 0$ :

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_{[1,2]})}{\Gamma(\mathbf{b})} {}_p F_p \left( \begin{matrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \right) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi\psi)} \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{\Gamma(b_k - \mathbf{b}_{[k]})}{\Gamma(b_k - \mathbf{a})} \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - b_k + a_1)_n (1 - b_k + a_2)_n}{\Gamma(1 - b_k + a_1 + a_2 + n) n!} {}_p F_{p-1} \left( \begin{matrix} -n, 1 - b_k + \mathbf{a}_{[1,2]} \\ 1 - b_k + \mathbf{b}_{[k]} \end{matrix} \right).$$

# Zeros of entire generalized hypergeometric functions

## Theorem (K.-López, 2016)

Suppose  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\beta_1 \geq \alpha + 1$ ,  $\beta_2 \geq 3/2$ ,  $\mathbf{a} > 0$  and  $v_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then

$$0 < {}_{p-1}F_p(\alpha, \mathbf{a}; \beta_1, \beta_2, \mathbf{b}; x) < 1$$

for all  $x < 0$ . In particular, this function has no real zeros.

## Theorem (K.-López, 2016)

Let  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  be positive vectors. Suppose that  $a_k \leq \min\{1, b_s - 1\}$  for some indexes  $k, s \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  and  $v_{\mathbf{a}_{[k]}, \mathbf{b}_{[s]}}(t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then  ${}_pF_p(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z)$  has no real zeros and all its zeros lie in the open right half plane  $\Re(z) > 0$ . Here  $\mathbf{a}_{[k]} = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_p)$ .

Laguerre-Pólya class  $\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ : real entire functions with factorization

$$f(x) = cx^n e^{-\alpha x^2 + \beta x} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{x_k}\right) e^{-\frac{x}{x_k}},$$

where  $c, \beta, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/x_k^2 < \infty$ .

**Theorem C (Richards, 1989; Ki and Kim, 2000)**

Suppose  $p \leq q$ ,  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} > 0$  and  $\mathbf{a}$  can be re-indexed so that  $a_k = b_k + n_k$  for  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $k = 1, \dots, p$ . Then

$$\phi(z) = {}_pF_q \left( \begin{matrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) = e^{az} \prod_{k=1}^{\omega} \left(1 + \frac{z}{z_k}\right) e^{-\frac{z}{z_k}} \in \mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P},$$

where  $z_k > 0$ ,  $\omega \leq \infty$  and the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/z_n^2$  converges.

Furthermore, if  $p = q$ ,  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}$  contains no non-positive integers and  $\mathbf{b} > 0$  then  $a_k = b_k + n_k$  for  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is necessary and sufficient for  $\phi \in \mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$ .

# Extended Laguerre inequalities

Theorem D (Patrick, 1973; Csordas and Varga, 1990)

Let  $f(z) = e^{-bz^2} f_1(z)$ , ( $b \geq 0, f(z) \not\equiv 0$ ),

where  $f_1(z)$  is a real entire function of genus 0 or 1. Set

$$L_n[f](x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{(-1)^{k+n}}{(2n)!} \binom{2n}{k} f^{(k)}(x) f^{(2n-k)}(x)$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \geq 0$ . Then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{L}-\mathcal{P}$  if and only if

$$L_n[f](x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } n \geq 0.$$

Corollary: extended Laguerre inequalities

Under hypotheses of Theorem C  $L_n[{}_pF_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; x)] \geq 0$  for all integer  $n \geq 0$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# Laguerre inequalities

## Theorem (Kalmykov-K., 2017): Laguerre inequality

If  $p \leq q$  and conditions  $\mathbf{a} \prec^W \mathbf{b}'$  are satisfied, where  $\mathbf{b}'$  stands  $\mathbf{b}$  with  $q - p$  largest elements removed. Then the function  $x \rightarrow {}_pF_q(x)$  satisfies the Laguerre inequality

$${}_pF'_p \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{array} \middle| x \right)^2 - {}_pF_p \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{array} \middle| x \right) {}_pF''_p \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{array} \middle| x \right) \geq 0.$$

## Conjecture: zeros of ${}_pF_q$

Suppose  $p < q$ ,  $\mathbf{b} > 0$  and  $a_k > b_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, p$ . Then all zeros of  ${}_pF_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z)$  are real and negative.

Craven and Csordas (2006) conjectured that the following function has only real and negative zeros for each positive integer  $m$

$${}_{m-1}F_m \left( \frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \dots, \frac{m-1}{m}; \frac{1}{m+1}, \frac{2}{m+1}, \dots, \frac{m}{m+1}; z \right)$$

THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION!